

On the invariance of the total Monge-Ampère volume of Hermitian metrics

Ionuț Chiose¹

Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, Bucharest 014700, Romania

Abstract

In this note, we describe the Hermitian metrics that leave the total Monge-Ampère volume invariant. In particular, we give several characterizations of the Hermitian metrics which satisfy the comparison principle for the complex Monge-Ampère operator.

Keywords: Hermitian metrics, Monge-Ampère operator, Comparison principle

Let g be a Hermitian metric on a compact complex manifold X of dimension n . Suppose that it satisfies the equations

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}g^k = 0, \forall k = \overline{1, n-1} \quad (0.1)$$

(we denote by the same letter g the fundamental form of the Hermitian metric g). These metrics were introduced by Guan and Li [GL] because these metrics leave the total Monge-Ampère volume unchanged, i.e., they satisfy

$$\int_X (g + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n = \int_X g^n, \forall u \in PSH(X, g) \quad (0.2)$$

where $PSH(X, g)$ denotes the space of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ real functions u on X such that

$$g + i\partial\bar{\partial}u \geq 0$$

Indeed, the equality (0.2) follows from (0.1) from Stokes' theorem applied twice.

In this note, we prove the converse of this statement, namely we show that a Hermitian metric which satisfies (0.2) has to satisfy (0.1). This answers Question 29 in [DGZ] (see also Problem 11.1 in [Di]).

Theorem 0.1. *Let (X, g) be a compact complex Hermitian manifold of dimension n such that*

$$\int_X (g + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n = \int_X g^n \quad (0.3)$$

for any smooth g -plurisubharmonic function u on X . Then

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}g^k = 0, \forall k = \overline{1, n-1} \quad (0.4)$$

Proof. First note that if u is an arbitrary $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ real function on X , then there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(u) > 0$ such that εu is g -plurisubharmonic for $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$. Therefore, for any $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\int_X (g + \varepsilon i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n = \int_X g^n, \forall \varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0) \quad (0.5)$$

If we expand the expression on the left and write it as a polynomial in ε , we obtain that

$$\int_X g^k \wedge (i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^{n-k} = 0, \forall k = \overline{1, n-1} \quad (0.6)$$

Email address: Ionut.Chiose@imar.ro (Ionuț Chiose)

Now fix $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and if $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-k}$ are arbitrary $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions on X and $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-k}$ are arbitrary real numbers, then, from

$$\int_X g^k \wedge \left(i\partial\bar{\partial} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} t_i u_i \right)^{n-k} = 0 \quad (0.7)$$

it follows that

$$\int_X g^k \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial} u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial} u_{n-k} = 0 \quad (0.8)$$

Now use Stokes' theorem twice to obtain that, for arbitrary $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-k}$ on X we have

$$\int_X u_1 i\partial\bar{\partial} g^k \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial} u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial} u_{n-k} = 0 \quad (0.9)$$

Since the function u_1 is arbitrary on X , we obtain that

$$i\partial\bar{\partial} g^k \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial} u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial} u_{n-k} = 0 \quad (0.10)$$

on X for any $u_2, \dots, u_{n-k} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$. It is clear that we can let the functions $u_2, \dots, u_{n-k}$ be complex valued. Fix $x \in X$ and let $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$ be local coordinates around x . If

$$i\partial\bar{\partial} g^k = \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_{k+1} \\ j_1 < \dots < j_{k+1}}} g_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1} \bar{j}_1 \dots \bar{j}_{k+1}} dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_{k+1}} \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_{k+1}} \quad (0.11)$$

then let

$$\{l_1, \dots, l_{n-k-1}\} = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}\} \quad (0.12)$$

and

$$\{m_1, \dots, m_{n-k-1}\} = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_{k+1}\} \quad (0.13)$$

and take $u_2 = z_{l_1} \bar{z}_{m_1}, \dots, u_{n-k} = z_{l_{n-k-1}} \bar{z}_{m_{n-k-1}}$ near x to obtain

$$g_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1} \bar{j}_1 \dots \bar{j}_{k+1}}(x) = 0 \quad (0.14)$$

hence $i\partial\bar{\partial} g^k = 0$. □

Combining the above result with [DK], we obtain the following

Theorem 0.2. *Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n with a Hermitian metric g . Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) $i\partial\bar{\partial} g = i\partial g \wedge \bar{\partial} g = 0$
- ii) $i\partial\bar{\partial} g = i\partial\bar{\partial} g^2 = 0$
- iii) $i\partial\bar{\partial} g^k = 0, \forall k = \overline{1, n-1}$
- iv) $i\partial\bar{\partial} g \geq 0, i\partial g \wedge \bar{\partial} g \geq 0$
- v) $\int_{\{u < v\}} (g + i\partial\bar{\partial} v)^n \leq \int_{\{u < v\}} (g + i\partial\bar{\partial} u)^n, \forall u, v \in PSH(X, g)$
- vi) $\int_X (g + i\partial\bar{\partial} u)^n = \int_X g^n, \forall u \in PSH(X, g)$

Proof. It is clear that i), ii) and iii) are equivalent and that they imply iv). Proposition 3.2 in [DK] shows that iv) implies v). If $u \in PSH(X, g)$, then $u - C < 0 < u + C$ for a constant C large enough. This shows that v) implies vi) since $u - C, 0, u + C \in PSH(X, g)$. Finally, vi) implies iii) from the above Theorem 0.1. □

Remark 0.3. v) is called the comparison principle and it plays a crucial role in the pluripotential study of the complex Monge-Ampère equation.

Remark 0.4. The above result implies that a Hermitian metric g on a compact complex manifold which satisfies $i\partial\bar{\partial}g \geq 0$ and $i\partial g \wedge \bar{\partial}g \geq 0$ actually satisfies $i\partial\bar{\partial}g = i\partial g \wedge \bar{\partial}g = 0$, and the proof goes through Proposition 3.2 in [DK]. However, there is a more direct proof of this fact. Indeed, from

$$d(ig^{n-2} \wedge \bar{\partial}g) = (n-2)g^{n-3} \wedge i\partial g \wedge \bar{\partial}g + g^{n-2} \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial}g \quad (0.15)$$

and Stokes' theorem, it follows that

$$(n-2) \int_X g^{n-3} \wedge i\partial g \wedge \bar{\partial}g + \int_X g^{n-2} \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial}g = 0 \quad (0.16)$$

and, since both integrals are positive, it follows that they have to be zero. Therefore $g^{n-3} \wedge i\partial g \wedge \bar{\partial}g = g^{n-2} \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial}g = 0$, that is the trace measures of the positive currents $i\partial g \wedge \bar{\partial}g$ and $i\partial\bar{\partial}g$ with respect to g are zero, hence they have to be zero.

Remark 0.5. If a compact complex manifold admits a Hermitian metric g as above, i.e., it satisfies $i\partial\bar{\partial}g = i\partial\bar{\partial}g^2 = 0$, and if $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is a blowup with a smooth center, then Y supports a Hermitian metric g' with the same property. Indeed, if E is the exceptional divisor, then the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-[E])$ has a metric with curvature β such that $g' = Np^*g + \beta$ is positive for N a large enough constant. Then it is trivial to show that g' satisfies the same equations as g . However, it is not true that this property is a bimeromorphic invariant, and this follows from the fact that a manifold bimeromorphic to a Kähler manifold need not be Kähler [Hi], combined with the fact that a Fujiki manifold which supports a SKT metric is Kähler [Ch1].

Remark 0.6. It is fairly easy to construct metrics as in Theorem 0.2. Take (X, g) to be a manifold as in Theorem 0.2 and (Y, h) a Kähler manifold. If p_X and p_Y denote the two projections, then the metric $p_X^*g + p_Y^*h$ also satisfies the above equations on $X \times Y$. Now take g to be a Gauduchon metric on a non-Kähler surface X to obtain examples of non-Kähler manifolds that admit metrics as above.

Remark 0.7. A similar question is the following:

Question 0.8. Characterize the Hermitian metrics g on a compact complex manifold X such that there exists a constant C such that

$$\int_X (g + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n \leq C, \forall u \in PSH(X, g)$$

This question is related to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [Ch2]. For instance, on surfaces, any Hermitian metric has the above property, while on 3-folds, this class includes the Hermitian metrics g that satisfy $i\partial\bar{\partial}g \geq 0$. Indeed, if $u \in PSH(X, g)$, then

$$\int_X (g + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^3 = \int_X g^3 + 3 \int_X g^2 \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial}u + 3 \int_X g \wedge (i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^2$$

and the second integral on the right is known to be bounded, while the third integral is negative if $i\partial\bar{\partial}g \geq 0$ since

$$\int_X g \wedge (i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^2 = - \int_X i\partial\bar{\partial}g \wedge i\partial u \wedge \bar{\partial}u \leq 0$$

from Stokes' theorem. In particular, as in [Ch2], we obtain that if a Hermitian 3-fold (X, g) such that $i\partial\bar{\partial}g \geq 0$ admits a nef class α of non-negative self-intersection, then X is Kähler (see Theorem 2.3 in [Ch1]).

Acknowledgments

The author was supported by a CNCS - UEFISCDI grant, project no. PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2016-0341.

References

References

- [Ch1] I. Chiose, *Obstructions to the existence of Kähler structures on compact complex manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), no. 10, 3561–3568
- [Ch2] I. Chiose, *The Kähler rank of compact complex manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **26** (2016), no. 1, 603–615
- [DK] S. Dinew, S. Kołodziej, *Pluripotential estimates on compact Hermitian manifolds*, Advances in geometric analysis, 69–86, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 21, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012
- [Di] S. Dinew, *Pluripotential theory on compact Hermitian manifolds*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **25** (2016), no. 1, 91–139
- [DGZ] S. Dinew, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi, *Open problems in pluripotential theory*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **61** (2016), no. 7, 902–930
- [GL] B. Guan, Q. Li, *Complex Monge-Ampère equations and totally real submanifolds*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 3, 1185–1223
- [Hi] H. Hironaka, *An example of a non-Kählerian complex-analytic deformation of Kählerian complex structures*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962) 190–208